Membrane nanotubes induced by aqueous phase separation and stabilized by spontaneous curvature
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Tubular membrane structures are widespread in eukaryotic cells, but the mechanisms underlying their formation and stability are not well understood. Previous work has focused on tube extrusion from cells and model membranes under the application of external forces. Here, we present novel membrane/polymer systems, where stable tubes form in the absence of externally applied forces. Solutions of two water-soluble polymers, polyethylene glycol and dextran, were encapsulated in giant lipid vesicles, cell-size model systems. Hypertonic deflation induced phase separation of the enclosed solution. The excess membrane area created during the deflation process was stored in a large number of membrane nanotubes inside the vesicle. The tubes had a diameter below optical resolution and became visible only when fluorescently labeled. The tubes were rather stable: In the absence of external forces, they existed for several days. A theoretical analysis of the shapes of the deflated vesicles reveals that these shapes would be unstable if the membranes had no spontaneous curvature. Using the large separation of length scales between the tube diameter and the overall size of the vesicles, the spontaneous curvature can be calculated and is found to be about −1/(240 nm) for a certain range of polymer concentrations. The nanotubes could also be retracted back into the mother vesicle by increasing the membrane tension via micropipette aspiration of the vesicle. Membrane tubes, which can form and be retracted easily, should be relevant for lipid storage in cells.

Eukaryotic cells often contain tubular membrane structures, also known as tethers or membrane nanotubes, with dimensions ranging from a few microns in diameter (myelin structures) to a few tens of nanometers. They are constantly formed in the smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER), a tubular membranous structure (3) with tube diameter of 50–150 nm. There, newly synthesized lipids have to be stored before being transferred to their target destinations. Folding excess membrane into tubes provides a very efficient way to store this membrane, because the tubes are characterized by a relatively large area to volume ratio.

In a number of studies, tubes have been pulled from cells and model membranes by applying an external force via fluid drag (4–7), gravity (8), micropipette systems (9, 10), or optical (11, 12) and magnetic tweezers (13, 14). The forces needed for pulling membrane tubes from Golgi or ER membranes are ~10 pN (15). In all of these studies, tube formation required the local application of an external force.

Here, we describe a simpler process that does not involve such an external force but may also play a role in organizing the membrane of cellular organelles into tubular structures. We show that local phase separation within macromolecular solutions can restructure smooth membranes into tubular structures. After formation, these tubes are stable even after phase separation has been completed.

The interior of living cells is crowded with macromolecules and organelles. The weight fraction of proteins, RNAs, and polysaccharides is on the order of 20–30%. In such a concentrated environment, local phase separation may occur, involving local composition differences and microcompartmentation, affecting, e.g., cell functioning and the performance of cytoplasmic proteins (16, 17). Recently, giant lipid vesicles with a diameter of several micrometers (18) and loaded with polymer solutions were reported to exhibit internal phase separation (19–21). The polymer weight fractions were comparable to those in cells. We employ these cell-sized biomimetic systems to study the reorganization of membranes arising from molecular crowding.

As a simplistic model of the cytoplasmic medium, we used solutions of the water-soluble macromolecules polyethylene glycol (PEG) and dextran. Solutions containing both polymers undergo phase separation at concentrations above a few weight percents. We prepared vesicles containing the polymer solution in the one-phase state. By exposing them to a hypertonic medium, phase separation was induced in their interior. The excess membrane produced by deflation formed nanotubular structures. The tubes, which had a diameter below optical resolution and became visible only when fluorescently labeled, were very stable in the absence of external forces. A stability analysis reveals that the observed vesicle shapes would be unstable if the membranes had no spontaneous curvature. Using the large separation of length scales between the tube diameter and the overall size of the vesicles, the spontaneous curvature can be calculated and is found to be about −1/(240 nm) for a certain range of polymer concentrations. The tubes could also be recruited back to the “mother” vesicle membrane by subjecting it to mild tensions.

Experimental Results

Deflation Trajectories in the Phase Diagram. We prepared vesicles encapsulating two different PEG–dextran solutions in the one-phase state: one with a larger fraction of PEG (4.05 wt% PEG and 2.22 wt% dextran) and the other with a larger fraction of dextran (2.10 wt% PEG and 7.44 wt% dextran). As indicated in Fig. 1, we will refer to these two solutions as S1 and S2, respectively. Upon exposure to hypertonic medium (see Materials and Methods and Table S1), the vesicles are deflated and the internal polymer concentration is raised above the binodal. The vesicle deflation is described by the osmolarity ratio r = Pp/Po, where Pp is the osmolarity of the external solution and Po is the initial osmolarity inside the vesicle. After phase separation, S1 vesicles have a larger PEG-rich phase (p) and S2 vesicles have a larger dextran-rich phase (d); the external medium will be denoted
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by e (see Fig. 1A). The PEG-rich phase is lighter, located at the vesicle top (Fig. 1B), and can completely or partially wet the membrane (21, 22). From side-view observations, we measure the vesicle apparent area and volume and deduce the polymer concentrations inside the vesicle at each deflation step; for example, see Fig. 1B.

**Microscopy Observations of Membrane Tube Formation.** The excess membrane created during vesicle deflation forms tubular structures in the vesicle interior. This process can be directly observed for vesicles with fluorescently labeled membranes (see Fig. 2). Before deflation, no fluorescence is detected in the vesicle interior. This process can be directly observed for vesicles with fluorescently labeled membranes (see Fig. 2).

**Formation in Deflated Vesicles and Figs. S1–S4.** When tubes are not observed after the initial deflation step, they are observed upon further deflation when phase separation within the droplets occurs. The external solution does not affect the tube formation. Tubes form in vesicles diluted and deflated by sucrose solution. We also explored the behavior of vesicles encapsulating solutions without phase separation, such as aqueous sucrose solution and PEG solution. No tubes are observed in these cases.

**Evolution of Membrane Area Under Deflation.** The axial symmetry of the vesicles allows us to evaluate the apparent area of the mother vesicle, A, from side-view observations. The area of the formed tubes is $A_f - A$, where $A_f$ is the initial area for osmolarity ratio $r > 1$. Different behavior can be distinguished in the evolution of the vesicle apparent area (see Fig. 3A). Upon deflation of $S_p$ vesicles, A first decreases. Additional tubes stop forming for $r > 1$. Instead, the newly created excess area leads to budding, during which the smaller dextran-rich droplet protrudes out of the initially spherical vesicle body (Fig. 1B).

The apparent area of $S_p$ vesicles decreases for osmolarity ratio $r < 1.3$ but then returns to its original value. The subsequent increase indicates tube receding. In this r range, interesting intermediate vesicle shapes are observed during deflation (Fig. 3B–E). The excess membrane area spreads over the newly formed PEG-rich droplets (which might be nucleated at the membrane) within the dextran-rich phase. The droplets protrude out of the vesicle body, and the lower part of the vesicle adopts a metastable raspberry-like shape (Fig. 3C and E). The formation
of these protrusions increases the membrane tension, leading to tube retraction for \( r \geq 1.3 \) (Fig. 3A). The raspberry-like surface is observed only for the membrane segment in contact with the lower dextran-rich phase, because the interaction energy between the PEG-rich phase and the membrane is lower. These intermediate raspberry-shaped vesicles are observed at every deflation step. The PEG-rich droplets newly formed in the dextran-rich phase slowly climb upward along the membrane and coalesce with the PEG-rich phase at the vesicle top, leaving a smooth dextran-rich phase beneath (see Fig. 3D). In the equilibrated vesicles, the membrane tubes, if present, are adsorbed at the two-phase interface (see arrows in Fig. 3B and D). The number of tubes at this interface seems to decrease for \( r > 1.3 \), consistent with the behavior of the vesicle apparent area in Fig. 3A. Instead, the excess membrane is utilized to perform overall morphological changes. At \( r \approx 1.6 \) both polymer phases are complete spheres (dumbbell-like vesicle) with no detectable interface (see Fig. S2).

**Membrane Tube Retraction.** As mentioned above, the tube retraction can be initiated by increased tension in the membrane. We demonstrate this by applying aspiration pressure via micropipettes to predeflated vesicles with tubes (see Fig. 4, SI section Calculation of Membrane Tension and Vesicle Apparent Area During Micropipette Aspiration, and Fig. S5). The fluorescence signal in the interior of an \( S_p \) vesicle shows tubes accumulated at the two-phase interface and extending into the PEG-rich phase (see arrows in Fig. 4A). The suction pressure applied to the vesicle part wetted by the PEG-rich phase (Fig. S5) defines the tension of this membrane segment, \( \Sigma_{pe} \). The area change \( A - A_{in} \), where \( A_{in} \) is the initial outer area of the vesicle before applying a suction pressure, corresponds to the membranes retracted from tubes. Three distinct regimes are observed in the evolution of the apparent vesicle area (see Fig. 4).

In the low tension regime, the apparent area increases very weakly. No detectable change of the fluorescent signal is observed in the vesicle interior (see Fig. 4A and B). Above the tension threshold \( \Sigma_{pe} = 19.6 \mu \text{nN/m} \) (measured on different vesicles \( \Sigma_{pe} = 17.1 \pm 3.8 \mu \text{nN/m} \)), the apparent vesicle area increases significantly by about 15%, accompanied by a decrease in the fluorescence signal from the tubes. Note that this area change arising from the tube retraction corresponds very well to the area decrease measured in the vesicle deflation experiments in Fig. 3 (see data for the \( S_p \) vesicle, which upon deflation from \( r = 1 \) to \( r = 1.4 \) shows a 15% area decrease). In some experiments, the cylindrical part of the vesicle inside the pipette is observed to bud off and form a small vesicle reflecting the relatively large excess area. Budding terminates the measurement at this stage. In the third regime, \( \Sigma_{pe} > 80 \mu \text{nN/m} \), the apparent area hardly changes, the tubes have been retracted, and no fluorescent signal is detected inside the vesicle (Fig. 4D).

**Theoretical Analysis**

**Geometry of Deflated Vesicles.** After phase separation inside the vesicle, the two droplets of PEG-rich (or \( p \)) phase and dextran-rich (or \( d \)) phase are separated by the \( pd \) interface. In general, this interface may or may not be in touch with the vesicle membrane depending on the wetting properties of the system. For the exceptional case of complete wetting of the membrane by the PEG-rich phase, the vesicle is spherical and the \( pd \) interface has no contact with the membrane. For the typical case of partial wetting by the PEG-rich phase as in Figs. 1, 3, and 4, this membrane will consist of two segments: the \( pe \) membrane segment between the \( p \) droplet and the external (or \( e \)) phase, and the \( de \) membrane segment between the \( d \) droplet and the \( e \) phase. Both the \( pd \) interface and the two membrane segments form spherical caps, which meet at the contact line.

The \( pd \) interface pulls the membrane along the contact line with the interfacial tension \( \Sigma_{pd} \). In mechanical equilibrium, this force must be balanced by two membrane tensions, \( \Sigma_{pe} \) and \( \Sigma_{de} \), acting tangential to the \( pe \) and \( de \) membranes, respectively. In spite of these latter tensions, the membrane area remains essentially unchanged during the deflation process (see SI section.

---

**Fig. 3.** Area and shape evolution in deflated vesicles. (A) Change in the apparent vesicle area \( A \) rescaled by the one before deflation, \( A_0 \) (\( r = 1 \)), for vesicles initially encapsulating \( S_p \) (red) and \( S_d \) (green). The cartoons illustrate typical vesicle shapes after the first few deflation steps, corresponding to osmolarity ratio \( r = 1.4 \). Before each deflation step, the solutions were left to equilibrate about 2 h for the \( S_p \) vesicles, and about 4 h for the \( S_d \) vesicles. (B–E) Fluorescence images of deflated \( S_p \) vesicles. During deflation from \( r = 1.4 \) (B) to \( r = 1.5 \) (D), the lower vesicle part adopts intermediate raspberry-like shapes, as shown by the fluorescence microscopy image (C) and a confocal \( xz \) section (E); note that the images in B–D and E are from two different vesicles. Arrowheads in B, D, and E indicate fluorescence from tubes at the two-phase interface. Scale bars, 20 \( \mu \text{m} \).

**Fig. 4.** Change in the apparent area of an \( S_p \) vesicle caused by tube retraction when the membrane tension is increased via micropipette aspiration. The vesicle was initially predeflated to osmolarity ratio \( r = 1.4 \). The tension threshold \( \Sigma_{pe} \) necessary to initiate tube retraction is indicated by an arrow. The fluorescent images show side views of the vesicle (A) before and (B–D) after applying a suction pressure. The images correspond to the points indicated in the graph. The arrowheads in A point to tubes accumulated at the \( pd \) interface and extending into the PEG-rich phase. In B–D, the micropipette tip is visible as well as the aspirated vesicle part indicated by arrowheads. At high tensions, no tubes are detected at the two-phase interface (D).
Membrane Tensions and Area Dilation) and, thus, has the constant value $A_0$ as measured for the initial spherical vesicle. In contrast, the apparent area of the vesicle, which represents the combined area of the $pe$ and $de$ membrane caps as observed in the optical microscope, is substantially reduced during the deflation process, as shown in Fig. 3. This reduction reflects the formation of hidden membrane area in the form of nanotubes, which have a diameter below optical resolution and become visible only when fluorescently labeled. The total area $A_m$ of the nanotubes can be obtained by subtracting the apparent area from the total area $A_0$. For the images shown in Fig. 1B, the tube area $A_m$ is then found to be of the order of a few thousand square micrometers.

Elastic Properties of Membrane Segments. Both membrane tensions $\Sigma_{pe}$ and $\Sigma_{de}$ can be decomposed into two contributions. One contribution arises from the constraints on the area of the membrane segments (22); the other contribution is induced by the spontaneous curvatures, $m_{pe}$ and $m_{de}$, of these segments, as previously discussed for uniform membranes (see, e.g., ref. 23). More precisely, the two membrane tensions may be written as

$$\Sigma_{pe} = \Sigma_{pe}^0 + 2m_{pe}^2$$

and

$$\Sigma_{de} = \Sigma_{de}^0 + 2m_{de}^2,$$

where the first terms, $\Sigma_{pe}^0$ and $\Sigma_{de}^0$, arise from the area constraints and the second terms depend on the spontaneous curvatures and on the bending rigidity $\kappa$.

For the membranes studied here, the bending rigidity $\kappa$ has been measured by flickering spectroscopy (see SI section Bending Rigidity Measurement Using Fluctuation Analysis) and was found to be $\kappa = 10^{-18}$ J. The spontaneous curvatures of the different membrane segments are both difficult to measure and difficult to estimate a priori because they depend, in general, on the details of the molecular structure. Therefore, it is appealing to assume that the two spontaneous curvatures $m_{pe}$ and $m_{de}$ are negligible (22). However, the latter assumption is not consistent with our experimental observations as we will now demonstrate in two ways. First, in the absence of spontaneous curvature, the observed vesicle shapes are unstable with respect to a shortening of the membrane tubes. Second, using the large separation of length scales between the curvature radii of the spherical membrane caps and the membrane nanotubes, the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ can be estimated in terms of experimentally accessible quantities.

Shape Instability in the Absence of Spontaneous Curvature. Each surface segment of the vesicle makes a certain contribution to the vesicle’s free energy. The contribution from the $pd$ interface is proportional to the interfacial area $A_{pd}$ and given by $F_{pd} = A_{pd} \Sigma_{pd}$. Likewise, if the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ of the $pe$ membrane segment vanishes, the contribution from the nanotubes, which are composed of $pe$ segments, has the form $F_{pe} = A_{pe} \Sigma_{pe}$ (see SI section Bending Energy of Cylindrical Nanotubes). In contrast, the bending energies of the spherical membrane caps are not proportional to the cap areas but have a combined value of the order of $8\pi \kappa$ irrespective of the cap sizes.

Using the measured value $\kappa = 10^{-18}$ J of the bending rigidity, we find $F_{pd} \sim F_{pe} \sim 10^{-14}$ J, whereas the bending energy of the spherical membrane caps is only approximately $10^{-18}$ J. Therefore, if the spontaneous curvature of the membranes were negligible, the free energy of the vesicle would be dominated by the free energy $F_{pe}$ of the $pd$ interface and the bending energy $F_{pe}$ of the $pe$ nanotubes. However, such a situation is intrinsically unstable because we could reduce the vesicle free energy by transferring membrane area from the nanotubes to the spherical membrane caps. For the vesicles shown in panels 2 and 3 of Fig. 1B, for example, we could use the transferred area to extend both the $pe$ membrane cap and the $de$ membrane cap in such a way that these extensions cover the outer part of the $pd$ interface. As a result, the contact line would move toward the vesicle interior, and the interfacial area $A_{pd}$ would decrease. We then let the two droplets relax until they again adopt spherical cap shapes. A systematic stability analysis shows that such a transfer of membrane area is indeed possible for fixed volumes of the $p$ and $d$ droplets, even if one takes the force balance along the contact line into account. During such a transfer process, both the area $A_{pe}$ of the tubes and the area $A_{de}$ of the $pd$ interface are reduced simultaneously, which implies an overall reduction of the vesicle free energy. Therefore, for $m_{pe} = 0$, the observed vesicle shapes would be unstable with respect to a shortening of the nanotubes.

Cylindrical Nanotubes. If the nanotubes have a cylindrical shape with radius $R_{cy}$, they are characterized by constant mean curvature $M_{cy} = -1/2R_{cy}$, which is negative here because the tubes are located inside the vesicles. The spherical $pe$ cap with curvature radius $R_{pe}$, on the other hand, has the positive mean curvature $M_{pe} = 1/R_{pe}$. The mechanical equilibrium between these two types of membrane segments can be described, for $R_{pe} \gg R_{cy}$, by relatively simple equations as derived in ref. 24 for membranes without spontaneous curvature. Extending these theoretical considerations to the systems studied here (see SI section Derivation of Eqs. 2 and 3), the mean curvature $M_{cy}$ of the membrane tubes and the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ of the $pe$ membrane are found to satisfy the simple relation

$$M_{cy} = -1/2R_{cy} \approx m_{pe} - 1/4R_{sc;pe}$$

for $R_{pe} \gg R_{cy}$. [2]

In the same limit, the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ is given by

$$m_{pe} \approx (\Sigma_{pe}/2\kappa)^{1/2} - 1/4R_{sc;pe},$$

[3]

which depends on the membrane tension $\Sigma_{pe}$, the bending rigidity $\kappa$, and the curvature radius $R_{sc;pe}$. Comparing the asymptotic equality 3 with the general expression 1 for the tension $\Sigma_{pe}$, one concludes that this tension is dominated by the term $2m_{pe}^2$ arising from the spontaneous curvature and that the other term $\Sigma_{pe} \sim m_{pe}/R_{sc;pe}$ represents a small correction. As explained in SI section Membrane Tensions and Area Dilation, the membrane tension $\Sigma_{pe}$ can be calculated from the measured interfacial tension $\Sigma_{pd}$ and the (effective) contact angles. For the vesicles shown in panels 2 and 3 of Fig. 1B, for instance, one then obtains the membrane tension $\Sigma_{pe} = 3.75 \times 10^{-6}$ N/m and $3.99 \times 10^{-6}$ N/m, respectively. The measured radii $R_{pe}$ are 39.3 and 37.6 μm, respectively, and the bending rigidity $\kappa = 1.13 \pm 0.22 \times 10^{-19}$ J (see SI section Bending Rigidity Measurement Using Fluctuation Analysis). It then follows from relation 3 that the $pe$ membranes of the two vesicles have a spontaneous curvature $m_{pe} = -1/(245 \pm 96$ nm) and $-1/(238 \pm 93$ nm), respectively. This result is confirmed by an analysis of two other vesicles that have been observed for similar osmolarity conditions. Furthermore, inserting these values for $m_{pe}$ into Eq. 2, the radii of the membrane nanotubes are found to be $R_{cy} = 122 \pm 48$ and $114 \pm 46$ nm for the two cases.

Necklace-Like Morphology of Nanotubes. In the previous subsection, the nanotubes were assumed to have a cylindrical shape. In analogy to the classical Rayleigh–Plateau instability of liquid cylinders, one might expect that cylindrical membrane nanotubes could reduce their free energy by transforming into a string of small spheres. A liquid cylinder of constant volume is unstable with respect to such a transformation because a string of droplets has a smaller interfacial area and, thus, a smaller interfacial free energy. Thus, let us consider a membrane nanotube that consists of a necklace-like string of small spheres with radius $R_{ss}$, which are connected by very thin membrane necks. Because the spheres are inverted relative to the large spherical segments, their mean curvature is negative and given by $M_{ss} = -1/R_{ss}$. Furthermore, the ideal neck condition (23) between two neighboring spheres implies that $M_{ss} = m_{pe}$, and the mechanical equilibrium between the small spheres and the large spher-
rial (pe) cap now leads to the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe} \approx \left(\Sigma_{pe}/2\pi\right)^{1/2} - 1/2R_{pe}$. This expression is identical with the corresponding expression 3 for cylindrical nanotubes, apart from the prefactor of the correction term, which is now $1/2$ instead of $1/4$. Because this correction term is two orders of magnitude smaller than the leading term $m_{pe} = \left(\Sigma_{pe}/2\pi\right)^{1/2}$ for the vesicles studied here, we obtain practically the same value for the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ irrespective of the tube morphology. For the vesicles shown in panels 2 and 3 of Fig. 1B, the value $m_{pe} \approx -1/(240 \text{ nm})$ as estimated above implies the small sphere radius $R_{pe} \approx 240 \text{ nm}$ for the necklace-like morphology.

**Discussion**

The formation and retraction of tubes is related to the membrane excess area and membrane tension. Depending on the experimental design, we control the membrane tension, as in micropipette manipulation, or create excess area, as in vesicle deflation. In previous work (4–15), the tension was generated by external forces. In the present study, the formation of the tubes is always associated with the process of phase separation inside the vesicle. Note that after the first deflation step, further stepwise changes in the osmolarity ratio lead to phase separation within the phases already formed, leading to the formation of new tubes or increasing the length of those already formed. The formation of a membrane tube requires overcoming an energy barrier (14, 25). This energy is provided by the phase separation of the internal solution (see Fig. 2). Stepwise changes in the osmolarity ratio $r$ induce phase separation within the phases already formed, leading to the formation of new tubes or increasing the length of those already formed. The formation of a membrane tube requires overcoming an energy barrier (14, 25). This energy is provided by the phase separation of the internal solution (see Fig. 2B). The phase separation also determines the apparent area of the mother vesicle, and thus, the area stored in tubes. The tube formation and vesicle morphological changes are governed by several factors associated with the deflation: (i) creation of “excess” membrane area, (ii) buoyancy-driven flows during phase separation, and (iii) tube stabilization by spontaneous curvature.

i. In general, the deflation of a vesicle leads to the reduction of vesicle volume by removal of water from the vesicle interior. This volume reduction relaxes the constraints imposed on the vesicle membrane, the area of which remains essentially constant during deflation. This relaxation process can be intuitively described as a “release” of excess area. If the aqueous phase within the vesicle did not undergo phase separation, this excess area would be used to change the vesicle shape, and a spherical vesicle would become increasingly prolate during deflation and further volume reduction. For vesicles containing two coexisting phases as considered here, the evolution of the vesicle shape is more complex. On the one hand, the excess area released during deflation leads to changes in the overall vesicle shape. On the other hand, this excess area is also used to form membrane nanotubes.

ii. Because the two aqueous phases differ in their densities, phase separation leads to buoyancy-driven flows that can be directly observed in the microscope and act to displace large groups of molecules and small droplets within the vesicles. The resulting directional flows exert local forces on the vesicle membrane, forces that should contribute to the pulling of tubes. These flows and forces decay to zero as the system equilibrates, but this relaxation process does not lead to tube retraction; i.e., the hydrodynamic forces only “nucleate” the tubes but do not sustain them.

iii. As explained in the theoretical analysis, the observed shapes of the equilibrated vesicles would be unstable to tube shortening if the pe membrane had no spontaneous curvature. Because these shapes are rather stable, we conclude that the pe membrane must have a spontaneous curvature. The magnitude of this curvature can be estimated from the mechanical equilibrium between the large spherical pe cap and the pe nanotubes as described in the theoretical analysis. As a result, the spontaneous curvature of the pe membrane is found to be $m_{pe} \approx -1/(240 \text{ nm})$ for a certain range of osmolarity ratios.

The theoretical analysis also shows that the tube formation can be understood from the competition of two opposing constraints acting on the pe membrane. On the one hand, the membrane is forced to enclose a certain volume of PEG-rich phase. This volume constraint necessarily implies that a large segment of the pe membrane must curve toward the PEG-rich phase. However, because of its negative spontaneous curvature, the pe membrane would really prefer to curve in the opposite way (i.e., toward the external phase). The latter curvature can be achieved via membrane nanotubes that form inside the vesicle and, thus, enwrap small volumes of external phase. As a consequence, the pe membrane forms many such nanotubes and one large segment around the PEG-rich droplet, the latter segment adopting a spherical cap shape in order to minimize its area.

It is quite plausible that the deflation process affects the spontaneous curvature of the membranes. Indeed, deflation leads to an increased polymer concentration in the $p$ and $d$ droplets and, thus, to increased interactions between the polymers and the membranes. Because the pe and de membrane segments are in contact with the PEG-rich and dextran-rich phase, respectively, these segments experience different molecular interactions and, thus, are expected to develop different spontaneous curvatures. Local dehydration of the inner membrane leaflet induced by PEG or dextran (26) could contribute to this curvature. Some (parts) of the polymers will interact with the protruding moieties of membrane-anchored molecules such as the sugar groups of $G_{M_1}$, or the PEG chains of dioleoylphosphaditidylethanolamine-N-[methoxy (polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DOPE-PEG; see Table S1). One relatively simple molecular mechanism is provided by the adsorption of the polymers onto the membranes. If more polymers are adsorbed at (27) or anchored to (28) the inner leaflet of the pe membrane compared to the outer leaflet, these asymmetries lead to negative spontaneous curvatures of the membrane. Membranes with anchored polymers have been studied experimentally, e.g., in refs. 29–31.

The mechanical equilibrium between the spherical pe caps and the pe nanotubes makes it possible to estimate the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ of these membrane segments. Because no nanotubes composed of de membrane have been observed, the spontaneous curvature $m_{de}$ of the latter segments cannot be estimated in a similar manner. We certainly expect that the spontaneous curvature of the de membranes is different from the one of the pe segments, but the available experimental data do not allow us to estimate $m_{de}$ in a reliable way.

Tubes are relevant for membrane storage in cells. Here, we demonstrated that as much as 15% of a vesicle membrane can be stored in tubes (see Fig. 4). Although the structure, purpose, and functions of tubular membranous networks such as the trans-Golgi network and the smooth ER are relatively well understood, the physical mechanism and driving forces involved in their formation and restructuring remain elusive. Actin polymerization (32, 33) and molecular motors (34–36) may play a role in pulling membrane tubes. However, cytoskeletal filaments are not in abundance in the smooth ER. We propose that membrane restructuring in this area is governed by another mechanism, namely local phase separation in the crowded environment in the cell interior and spontaneous curvature stabilization as demonstrated here. Local phase separation could induce microcompartmentation by means of tube formation, whereby the spontaneous curvature stabilization may be assisted by proteins with banana-shaped Bin–Amphiphsin–Rvs domains or by lipids such as PI(3)P. The existence of a tension threshold for tube retraction as demonstrated here shows that cells can switch retraction on and off when needed.

Membrane tubes can recede under mild perturbations caused by osmotic swelling, morphological changes (as in the raspberry-shaped vesicles), or external pressure. Therefore, tubes, which form and recede easily, might be relevant to surface area regula-
tion in cells. Many cells, including growing neurons and dividing cells, undergo rapid volume and surface area change. This requires a rapid exchange of membrane between the surface and the internal sources. Tubes could be the internal area reservoirs, from which the membrane can be easily recruited back. This area recruitment involves the control of the membrane tension, which can be tuned by the growth of volume, or a morphological change such as forming a raspberry-like surface.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** PEG (average molecular weight 6 kg/mol) and dextran from Leuconostoc mesenteroides (molecular weight 400–500 kg/mol) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The powder was dissolved in chloroform/methanol (volume ratio).

Polar Lipids. The powder was dissolved in chloroform/methanol (Brain, Ovine-Ammonium Salt) as powder were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. The powder was dissolved in chloroform/methanol 80/20 (volume ratio).

**Vesicle Preparation and Deflation.** Giant vesicles were prepared in S phase. Giant vesicles were induced by injecting a certain amount of the polymer solution in the vesicles was induced by injecting a certain amount of the hyperosmotic solution prepared by dissolving 0.1 mol sucrose in 1 L of S phase, yielding a solution with 3.27% sucrose, 3.92% PEG, and 2.14% dextran and osmolarity of 146 mol/kg. The deflation was done stepwise. The compositions of the polymer solutions were selected so that the density of the external one is lower than the overall density of the vesicle (see Fig. S6). In this way, the vesicle sediments on the chamber bottom to be observed with an inverted microscope. In addition, the density of the external medium must be lower than the d phase but higher than the p phase. This assures that the vesicles always "stand" on the chamber bottom with the p phase pointing upward (see Fig. 18). Note that all vesicles were diluted in the same external medium and deflated with the same hypertonic solution.

**Microscopy Observation.** The top-view vesicle observation was done by a confocal microscope (Leica TCS SPS) with a 63x water immersion objective. The fluorescent dye was excited with a diode-pumped solid-state laser at 561 nm. The emission signal was collected at 570–650 nm. Top-view observations, which are typically used in studies of giant vesicles, do not provide complete characterization of the morphology of vesicles enclosing two phases. The d phase, which is denser than the p phase (see Fig. S6) is located at the lower vesicle part. Thus, although a top-view observation yields an image of two concentric circles, side-view observation provides information about the exact vesicle geometry. Here, side-view observation was performed using a horizontally aligned inverted microscope (Axiovert 135, Zeiss) equipped with a 40x long-distance objective and a chamber illustrated in Fig. S7, or performing xz scans with the confocal microscope.

**Vesicle Aspiration.** The newly formed vesicles were diluted in the isotonic solution and predeflated by adding the hypertonic solution into the external medium stepwise. The osmolarity increment was approximately 6.5% for each step, and the time interval between two steps was at least 15 min to avoid budding during deflation. At the end, the system was left overnight to equilibrate. The deflated vesicles were carefully transferred into the specimen chamber. The micropipettes with inner diameter of approximately 25 μm were prepared with a pipette puller (P-97, Sutter Instrument Co.), and the tips shaped with a micro forge (MF-900, Narishige). The pipette tip, in which the chamber was positioned with lipids by breaking several vesicles to eliminate adhesion. The open side of the chamber was sealed with high viscous grease to avoid evaporation. Aspiration was realized by means of a hydrostatic pressure system with a motorized vertical stage (M-531.PD, Physik Instrumente). The aspirated vesicle was left to equilibrate for 3 min after each consecutive pressure change. The vesicles were observed from the side at room temperature. The images were analyzed using home-developed software.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank H. Kusumaatmaja for useful discussions.

Supporting Information

Li et al. 10.1073/pnas.1015892108

SI Text

Statistics of the Membrane Tube Formation in Deflated Vesicles. In our experiments, membrane tube formation is a common phenomenon observed during the deflation of vesicles containing polyethylene glycol (PEG)/dextran homogeneous aqueous solution. Vesicles with spherical shape are typically under some tension. Upon significant deflation, the vesicles gain excess area, which can undertake several different paths. First, the excess area may participate in membrane fluctuations, which are optically visible under the microscope. Second, the vesicle may adopt nonspherical morphology (e.g., prolate, oblate or sessile-shape) when deformed by gravity. In the third path, which is characteristic for the case of vesicles with phase separation, the excess area gets involved in the formation of tubes. The latter is also associated with elevation in the membrane tension, as indicated by the overall geometry of the vesicles, which is either a sphere or an assembly of spherical caps. One example is illustrated in Fig. S1, showing the deflation of a vesicle made of PEG-membrane in $S_d$ (the deflation of $S_g$ vesicles is illustrated in Fig. 1B in the main text); for composition of the polymer solutions $S_d$ and $S_g$, see section Deflation Trajectories in the Phase Diagram in the main text. Upon significant deflation, the spherical vesicle, Fig. S1A, adopts sessile-shape (Fig. S1B). The latter indicates the decrease of the membrane tension, which cannot overcome gravity. As soon as the new phase appears (last snapshot in Fig. S1B), the vesicle becomes spherical again. The morphological change from sessile-shape to sphere indicates the elevation of the membrane tension: The tension can overcome gravity now. The excess area forms membrane tubes; see arrows in Fig. S1C.

Observations on vesicles using single-step deflation indicated that membrane tubes formed in approximately 95% of all deflated vesicles in each of the four systems studied. This statistic was obtained from 188 GM-membrane vesicles (as in the main text) prepared in $S_g$ (see also Fig. S3), 163 GM-membrane vesicles prepared in $S_d$ (as in Fig. S2), 312 PEG-membrane vesicles prepared in $S_p$ (see also Fig. S4), and 435 PEG-membrane vesicles prepared in $S_h$ (as in Fig. S1). The specific membrane compositions are given in Table S1. When tubes are not observed after the initial deflation step, they are observed upon further deflation when phase separation within the droplets occurs. The external solution does not affect the tube formation. Tubes form in vesicles diluted and deflated by sucrose aqueous solution. We also explored the behavior of vesicles encapsulating solutions without phase separation, such as aqueous sucrose solution and PEG solution. No tubes are observed in these cases.

Calculation of Membrane Tension and Vesicle Apparent Area During Micropipette Aspiration. The tension induced by the micropipette in the $pe$ membrane (i.e., the membrane segment separating the PEG-rich droplet from the external phase) can be calculated from the following equation (1):

$$\Sigma_{pe} = \frac{P R_e}{2(1 - R_p/R_e)}.$$  \[S1\]

where $P$ is the pressure difference between inside and outside the pipette, $R_e$ is the radius of the vesicle (or the curvature radius of the PEG-rich phase when the vesicle is nonspherical), and $R_p$ is the radius of the spherical part of the vesicle inside the micropipette.

The effect of gravity is neglected because of the insignificant density difference between the interior and exterior of the vesicle. For the predeflated vesicle shown in Fig. 4 in the main text ($r = 1.4$), the density of the external medium is 1.0110 g/cm$^3$, and the density of the polymer solution inside the vesicle is 1.0165 g/cm$^3$ (see also Fig. S6).

In all measured cases, the vesicles adopt an axisymmetric shape. Under low suction pressure, the part in the pipette is very small and the vesicle geometry is an ensemble of spherical caps (Fig. S5A). Under high suction pressure, the vesicle contour is a combination of spherical caps and a cylindrical part inside the pipette (Fig. S5B). To measure the apparent area of the vesicle under different pressures, we fit the vesicle shape in the digitized images with circles and straight lines (Fig. S5).

Note that the vesicle protrusion inside the pipette was observed to oscillate slightly under low suction pressure. This oscillation can still be observed half an hour after applying a constant suction pressure. It may be caused by thermal fluctuations of the membrane or perturbation of the surrounding. In general, the membrane stops fluctuating when the suction pressure exceeds a few pascals, corresponding to tension of about 0.03 mN/m.

Membrane Tensions and Area Dilution. The $pd$ interface pulls the membrane along the contact line with the interfacial tension $\Sigma_{pd}$, which represents a force per unit length of the contact line. In mechanical equilibrium, this force must be balanced by two membrane tensions $\Sigma_{pe}$ and $\Sigma_{de}$ acting tangential to the $pe$ and $de$ membranes, respectively. Because both the $pe$ and the $de$ membrane segments are fluid, they are both characterized by uniform tensions.

The interfacial tension $\Sigma_{pd}$ was experimentally determined for $pd$ coexistence of the bulk phases. This tension has a magnitude of the order of $10^{-3}$ N/m and increases monotonically with increasing osmolarity, from about $0.4 \times 10^{-5}$ N/m to about $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ N/m over the osmolarity range studied here. The membrane tensions can be expressed in terms of the interfacial tension $\Sigma_{pd}$ and the (effective) contact angles $\theta_p$, $\theta_d$, and $\theta_e$, under which the three surface segments meet at the contact line (2). These three angles are defined in such a way that their sum is equal to $360^\circ$, whereas each individual angle must be smaller than $180^\circ$ because of the force balance along the contact line. The latter balance also implies the relations

$$\Sigma_{pe} = \Sigma_{pd} \sin(\theta_e)/\sin(\theta_p). \quad [S2]$$

and

$$\Sigma_{de} = \Sigma_{pd} \sin(\theta_p)/\sin(\theta_e). \quad [S3]$$

which reveal that the two membrane tensions have the same order of magnitude as the interfacial tension $\Sigma_{pd}$ unless one of the contact angles is exceptionally small or very close to $180^\circ$. For the vesicles shown in Fig. 1B in the main text, the contact angles vary between $(\theta_p, \theta_d, \theta_e) = (36^\circ, 153^\circ, 171^\circ)$ for panel 1 and $(\theta_p, \theta_d, \theta_e) = (118^\circ, 165^\circ, 77^\circ)$ for panel 3. It then follows from the relations $S2$ and $S3$ that the membrane tensions $\Sigma_{pe}$ and $\Sigma_{de}$ were of the same order as $\Sigma_{pd} \sim 10^{-3}$ N/m.

In principle, the tensions $\Sigma_{pe}$ and $\Sigma_{de}$ lead to a stretching of the membrane. In practice, the corresponding area dilation is extremely small. This can be seen as follows. If the membrane is viewed as a thin elastic sheet, its deformations can be decomposed into stretching and bending modes. This decomposition implies that the tensions $\Sigma_{pe} = \Sigma_{pe} - 2\kappa_{de}m_{de}$ and $\Sigma_{de} = \Sigma_{de} - 2\kappa_{pe}m_{pe}$, arising from the constraints on the membrane area are related to the membrane compressibility. For an unstretched
membrane of area $A_0$, a tension $\Sigma$ induces the area dilation $\Delta A = (\Sigma/K_a)A_0$, which depends on the area compressibility modulus $K_a$. For lipid bilayer membranes, the latter modulus is of the order of 0.2 N/m, and tensions of the order of $10^{-5}$ N/m lead to the tiny area dilation $\Delta A \approx 10^{-4}A_0$. In fact, the theoretical estimate for the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ of the pe membrane implies the tension $\Sigma_{pe} \approx \kappa m_{pe}/R_{sc,pe}$, where the length scale $R_{sc,pe}$ denotes the curvature radius of the spherical pe cap. For the vesicles studied here, the tension $\Sigma_{pe}$ is then found to be $\Sigma_{pe} \approx 10^{-7}$ N/m and, thus, two orders of magnitude smaller than the tension $\Sigma_{de}$. Therefore, the area dilation of the pe membrane segments is even smaller and only of the order of $\Delta A \approx 10^{-6}A_0$. Likewise, we expect that the tension $\Sigma_{de}$ is also substantially smaller than $\Sigma_{de}$, but cannot determine this reduction in the absence of a reliable estimate for the spontaneous curvature $m_{de}$ of the de membranes. In any case, these tiny area dilations can be safely ignored, which implies that the total membrane area remains constant during the deflation process and equal to the area $A_0$ of the initial, spherical vesicle before deflation and phase separation.

**Bending Rigidity Measurement Using Fluctuation Analysis.** The bending rigidity of GM1-membranes (as in the main text) was measured using fluctuation analysis of vesicles with $S_p$ solution in the interior and exterior. This method consists of studying the shape fluctuations, which the vesicle exhibits in a representative period of time (3).

Vesicles in the native polymer solution were transferred into a chamber made of two microscope slides separated by 1-mm-thick press-to-seal silicone isolator (Molecular Probes). The vesicles were left to relax for 1 d. An inverted microscope Axiovert 135 (Zeiss) equipped with a 40x dry objective was used to visualize the vesicles under phase contrast mode. A floppy quasi-spherical vesicle without defects was selected. A time sequence of this vesicle was recorded with fast digital camera HG-100 K (Redlake Inc.) for 90 s at acquisition speed of 60 frames per second and exposure time of 160 μs. During the experiments, effort was made to keep the vesicle on focus because of the diffusion of the vesicle. The image time sequences were analyzed to obtain the bending rigidity by home-developed software (4). The result cited in the main text was obtained from measurements on 16 vesicles. The bending rigidity was found to be $1.13 \times 10^{-19}$ J with standard error of $0.22 \times 10^{-19}$ J.

**Bending Energy of Cylindrical Nanotubes.** The nanotubes consisted of pe membrane segments with bending rigidity $\kappa$ and spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$. If the nanotubes are cylindrical in shape with radius $R_c$, they have the constant mean curvature $M_{cy} = -1/2R_c$ and the bending energy

$$F_{cy} = A_m 2\kappa (M_{cy} - m_{pe})^2 = A_m 2\kappa M_{cy}^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_{pe}}{M_{cy}}\right)^2.$$  \[S4]\n
where $A_m$ denotes the tube area.

In mechanical equilibrium with a large spherical pe cap of curvature radius $R_{sc,pe}$, the mean curvature $M_{cy}$ of the cylindrical tubes satisfies

$$2\Sigma_{pe} M_{cy} = 4\kappa M_{cy}^3 \approx 2\Sigma_{pe}/R_{sc,pe}.$$  \[S5]\n
as follows from the analysis in ref. 5. The solution of this implicit equation leads to the mean curvature

$$M_{cy} \approx \left(\frac{\Sigma_{pe}}{2\kappa}\right)^{1/2} - 1/2R_{sc,pe}.$$  \[S6]\n
When this expression is inserted into the bending energy $S4$, one obtains

$$F_{cy} \approx A_m \left(1 - \frac{m_{pe}}{M_{cy}}\right)^2.$$  \[S7]\n
For nanotubes with $m_{pe} = 0$ and $\Sigma_{pe} = \Sigma_{pe}$, this free energy is equal to $F_{cy} \approx A_m \Sigma_{pe}$. On the other hand, in the presence of a spontaneous curvature $m_{pe} \neq 0$, the tube curvature $M_{cy}$ and the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ are related via Eq. 2 in the main text, and the tubes then have the bending energy $F_{cy} \approx A_m \Sigma_{pe}/8R_{sc,pe}^2$. For the vesicles studied here, the latter bending energy is several orders of magnitude smaller than $A_m \Sigma_{pe}$.

**Derivation of Eqs. 2 and 3.** Consider a segment of pe membrane separating the PEG-rich phase with osmotic pressure $P_0$ from the external phase with osmotic pressure $P_e$. If this segment has the shape of a spherical cap, its mean curvature $M_{cy} = 1/R_{sc,pe}$ satisfies the Laplace-like equation

$$P_p - P_e \approx 2\Sigma_{pe}/R_{sc,pe}$$  \[S8]\n
in the limit of large curvature radii $R_{sc,pe}$ with the membrane tension $\Sigma_{pe}$ as defined in Eq. 1 of the main text. If another membrane segment forms a cylindrical tube inside the vesicle, the corresponding equation for the mean curvature $M_{cy}$ is $-1/2R_c$ has the form

$$P_p - P_e = 2\Sigma_{pe} M_{cy} - 4\kappa M_{cy}^3.$$  \[S9]\n
(compare ref. 5). Combining the two Eqs. $S8$ and $S9$ to eliminate the pressure difference $P_p - P_e$, one obtains Eq. $S5$, which describes the mechanical balance between the two types of membrane segments and implies the expression $S6$ for the mean curvature $M_{cy}$.

For the cylindrical tube, the invariance of the shape energy under infinitesimal scale transformations (see equation 2.11 in ref. 6), implies a second relation for the mean curvature $M_{cy}$ as given by

$$3(P_p - P_e) = \frac{8\Sigma_{pe}}{M_{cy}} - 16\kappa m_{pe} M_{cy}^2.$$  \[S10]\n
for which the second term on the right-hand side depends explicitly on the spontaneous curvature $m_{pe}$ of the pe membrane segment. Alternatively, one may obtain an equivalent relation by minimizing the membrane’s shape energy with respect to the tube length. Eliminating the pressure difference by combining Eqs. $S9$ and $S10$ implies the relation

$$\Sigma_{pe} = 8\kappa m_{pe} M_{cy} - 6\kappa M_{cy}^2.$$  \[S11]\n
for the membrane tension. If expression $S6$ is inserted into relation $S11$, one obtains Eq. 3 of the main text. Finally, Eq. 2 of the main text follows from a combination of Eq. 3 and expression $S6$.

**Vesicle Preparation, Deflation, and Observation.** Giant vesicles were prepared in $S_p$ (4.05 wt% PEG and 2.22 wt% dextran) or $S_d$ (2.10 wt% PEG and 7.44 wt% dextran) by the method of electroformation (7). The explored membrane compositions are given in Table S1. Lipid stock solution in chloroform (25 ± 30 μL, 2 mg/mL) was spread on conductive glass substrates coated with indium tin oxide (ITO). The lipid films were dried in a vacuum desiccator for at least 3 h. A rectangular Teflon frame of thickness 1.6 mm served as a chamber spacer between two opposing glass substrates. The chamber was sealed with grease. The coated ITO substrates. The chamber was sealed with grease. The coated ITO...
continued for 2 to 3 h. After formation, the vesicle solution was transferred into a small tube.

To ensure homogeneity of the polymer solution, vesicles containing $S_p$ were prepared at 60 °C in an oven. In this case, the chamber, the filter, the syringe, the needle, and the $S_p$ were pre-heated before the polymer solution was injected into the chamber. After the formation, the chamber was taken out of the oven and cooled to room temperature ($\approx 23 °C$). Vesicles containing $S_d$ were prepared at room temperature.

After preparation, the vesicles were diluted in an isotonic solution (22 mOsmol/kg) containing 4.41 wt% PEG and 1.45 wt% dextran. The phase separation of the polymer solution in the vesicles was induced by injecting a certain amount of hypertonic solution. The latter was prepared by dissolving 0.1 mol sucrose in 1 L of $S_p$, yielding a solution with 3.27 wt% sucrose, 3.92 wt% PEG, 2.14 wt% dextran, and osmolarity of 146 mOsmol/kg. The deflation was done stepwise. The osmolarity was measured with an osmometer (Gonotec Osmomat 030). The compositions of the polymer solutions were selected so that the density of the external one is lower than the overall density of the vesicle (see also Fig. S6). In this way, the vesicles sediment at the chamber bottom to be observed with an inverted microscope. In addition, the density of the external medium must be lower than the dextran-rich phase but higher than the PEG-rich phase, which ensures that the vesicles always “stand” on the chamber bottom with the PEG-rich phase pointing upward (Fig. 1B in the main text). The latter criterion is especially important for observing nonspherical vesicles containing two phases. If not fulfilled, the vesicles may lie sidewise, producing overlapping projections of the two phases (see, e.g., refs. 8 and 9). All vesicles were diluted in the same external medium and deflated with the same hypertonic solution.

For the confocal microscopy observation, a 63× water immersion objective, N.A. 1.20, was used at pinhole of 111.4 μm (Leica TCS SP5). Due to spherical aberrations, the vertical cross sections of the vesicles are distorted in the $z$ direction. This was corrected by adjusting the vesicle contours to circle or circular segments because the side-view observation via the horizontally aligned microscope (where no spherical aberrations are present) showed that the vesicles have the geometry of a sphere or an assembly of spherical caps.

The fluorescent dye was excited with a diode-pumped solid-state laser at 561 nm. The emission signal was collected at 570–650 nm. Top-view observations, which are typically used in studies of giant vesicles, provide only limited information about the morphology of vesicles enclosing two liquid phases. Therefore, most images were obtained via side-view observation using a horizontally aligned inverted microscope (Axiovert 135, Zeiss) equipped with a 40× long-distance objective, or performing $xz$ scans with the confocal microscope. The observation chamber used with the horizontally aligned microscope is illustrated in Fig. S7.

Fig. S1. Tube formation in a PEG-membrane vesicle prepared in $S_d$. The vesicle is observed from the side with phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. Before deflation (A), the vesicle is spherical and rests at the chamber bottom (black zone in the lower area of the images). After the addition of the hypertonic solution into the chamber, the vesicle volume decreases because of the loss of water leading to the release of the tension or excess area. As a result, the vesicle adopts a sessile-shape (B), which is the result of gravity and the available excess area. When the polymer concentration crosses the binodal, phase separation is initiated (last snapshot in B; the small droplets are the newly formed PEG-rich phase). At the same time, the vesicle becomes spherical again, which indicates elevation of the membrane tension due to tube formation. Now the tension can overcome gravity. After the phase separation is completed (C), the vesicle is spherical again. Tubes are observed in the vesicle interior; see arrows in C. (A and C) The Left image is obtained with phase contrast, and the Right image is from fluorescence microscopy. The big spherical dense part in the Left image in C is the dextran-rich phase, and the light part is the PEG-rich phase. (B) Phase contrast images. The minutes on each frame indicate the time after the addition of the hypertonic solution. The osmolarity of the external medium is increased by 28% ($r = 1.28$). Scale bar, 20 μm.

Fig. S2. The deflation of an $S_d$ vesicle with GM1 membrane as in the main text showing the morphological evolution and tube localization. (Upper) Side-view phase contrast images. (Lower) Side-view fluorescence images. The numbers indicate the osmolarity ratio $r$. The system was left to equilibrate for about 4 h after each consecutive deflation step. At $r = 0$, the vesicle encloses the homogeneous $S_d$ solution. In the phase contrast images with $r > 1.0$, the lower dense part is the dextran-rich phase and the upper part is the PEG-rich phase, which at $r = 1.17$ is too small to be visualized in phase contrast image. At $r \geq 1.28$, the vesicle membrane is wetted by both liquid phases. At $r \geq 1.58$, the vesicle has a dumbbell-like shape. The fluorescence images show the location of the tubes. The tubes are in the PEG-rich phase at $r = 1.17$ and 1.28. They are at the interface at $r > 1.28$. Scale bar, 20 μm.
Fig. S3. Membrane tube localization in an Sₚ vesicle with Gₐₜ membrane as in the main text (see Table S1). The vesicle was deflated along the trajectory in Fig. 1A in the main text. The snapshots are confocal fluorescence images (vertical xz cross-sections) of a vesicle at different osmolarity ratios $r$, indicated by the numbers on the snapshots. The system was left to equilibrate for about 2 h after each consecutive deflation step. At $r = 1.51$, the tubes are adsorbed at the interface between the dextran-rich phase (lower vesicle part) and the PEG-rich phase as indicated by the arrow. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Fig. S4. Membrane tube localization in a vesicle consisting of Sₚ and PEG-membrane deflated along the trajectory in Fig. 1A in the main text. The snapshots are confocal fluorescence images (vertical xz cross-sections) of vesicles at different osmolarity ratios $r$, indicated by the numbers on the snapshots. The system was left to equilibrate for about 2 h after each deflation step. The lower vesicle part contains the dextran-rich phase. One part of the tubes is adsorbed at the interfaces between the dextran-rich and the PEG-rich phases (indicated by the arrows), and another part of them is in the PEG-rich phase. At $r < 2.69$, the tubes are located only in the PEG-rich phase. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Fig. S5. Schematic illustration of the image fitting under low suction pressure (A) and under high suction pressure (B).

Fig. S6. Densities of the $S_p$ solution (blue star), dextran-rich phase (solid triangles), PEG-rich phase (solid circles), and external solutions (open squares) as a function of the osmolarity ratio $r$ at 24.2 °C. The densities of the coexisting phases were measured in bulk polymer solutions, which had the exact polymer concentration as in the deflated vesicles. The polymer concentration inside the vesicle at each deflation step can be obtained from the volume change of the vesicle. The bulk polymer solutions were left to phase separate at 24.2 °C for 5 d before probes of each of them was taken for the density measurements. The overall dextran/PEG weight ratio was fixed to 0.55 (same as in $S_p$) in all solutions.

Fig. S7. Schematic illustration of the chamber for side-view observation using horizontally aligned microscope. The sketched objects are not in scale. The objective is located in front of the chamber. The condenser and the illumination are located behind the chamber. (A) Chamber used for vesicle deflation. The chamber was filled with the isotonic external medium (cyan) and vesicles (red). The osmolarity of the external medium was increased by addition of the hypertonic solution (blue) through one of the holes using a pipette. (B) Chamber used for vesicle aspiration. After introducing the micropipette, the open part of the chamber is sealed with grease (light green) to avoid evaporation. The micropipette was filled with the same solution as the external medium (cyan).
Table S1. Membrane compositions explored in vesicles with tube formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Lipid mole fractions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ĜA_1 membrane (as in the main text)</td>
<td>ĜA_1 ganglioside 4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG membrane</td>
<td>DOPE-PEG 4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPS membrane</td>
<td>DOPS 27.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOPC, dioleoylphosphatidylcholine; DPPE-Rhod, dipalmitoylphosphatidylethanolamine-N-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl) (ammonium salt); DOPE-PEG, dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine-N-[methoxy (polyethylene glycol)-2000] (ammonium salt); DOPS, dioleoylphosphatidylserine (sodium salt)